A gentle introduction to Bayesian Statistics (Course code S18) 23.8.2021-27.8.2021 (week 34)

Course Director: Prof. dr. Rens van de Schoot Lecturers: Rens vd Schoot, Katharina Meitinger, Rebecca Kuiper, Beth Grandfield, Ingrid Arts E-mail: <u>ms.summerschool@uu.nl</u>

Saturday and Sunday (24 & 25 August)		
Time Activity Description		Description
12.00-18.00	Key pick up	You will find the exact key pick up location in the pre-departure information, which becomes available after you have paid the course fee.

Day	Time	Туре	Description
	09:00 -12:45	Lecture by Rens van de Schoot The chat in Teams, for people attending online, will be moderated by Beth Grandfield	Conceptual introduction + reasons for using Bayesian methods + discussion on interpretability of results when using p- values/95%intervals + empirical example of a linear regression analysis in the Bayesian framework. Useful reference: van de Schoot, R., Depaoli, S., King, R. et al. Bayesian statistics and modelling. Nature Review Methods Primers 1, 1 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-020-00001-2
Monday	12:45 -13.30	Lunch	
	13:30 -15.00	Computer lab	Work on exercises and ask questions via the chat to Beth Grandfield and Rens van de Schoot
	15.00 – 16.00	Q&A by Rens van de Schoot	Ask your questions about the exercises. (since there will be extensive answers provided we will not show how to perform the exercises, but we will answer all you conceptual questions)

sday	09:00 -12:45	Lecture by Rens van de Schoot The chat in Teams, for people attending online, will be moderated by Beth Grandfield	 Q&A + WAMBS-checklist (when to worry and how to avoid the misuse of Bayesian Statistics) Useful references: Depaoli, S., & Van de Schoot, R. (2017). Improving transparency and replication in Bayesian statistics: The WAMBS-Checklist. Psychological methods, 22(2), 240. Van de Schoot, R., Veen, D., Smeets, L., Winter, S. D., & Depaoli, S. (2020). A tutorial on using the WAMBS checklist to avoid the misuse of Bayesian statistics. Small Sample Size Solutions: A Guide for Applied Researchers and Practitioners; van de Schoot, R., Miocevic, M., Eds, 30-
F	13:30 -15.00 15.00 - 16.00	Computer lab Q&A by Rens van de Schoot	Work on exercises and ask questions via the chat to Beth Grandfield and Rens van de Schoot Ask your questions about the exercises. (since there will be extensive answers provided we will not show how to perform the exercises, but we will answer all you conceptual
			questions)

	09:00 -12:45	Lecture by Katherina	Bayesian CFA, testing for Measurement Invariance and approximate MI.
		weitinger	Userul references:
ednesday		The chat in Teams, for people attending online, will be moderated by Ingrid Arts	 Davidov, E., Meuleman, B., Cieciuch, J., Schmidt, P., & Billiet, J. (2014). Measurement equivalence in crossnational research. Annual Review of Sociology, 40, 55–75. Van De Schoot, R., Kluytmans, A., Tummers, L., Lugtig, P., Hox, J., & Muthén, B. (2013). Facing off with Scylla and Charybdis: a comparison of scalar, partial, and the novel possibility of approximate measurement invariance. Frontiers in psychology, 4, 770. Muthén, B., and Asparouhov, T. (2012b). Bayesian SEM: a more flexible representation of substantive theory. Psychol. Methods 17, 313–335. doi: 10.1037/a0026802
5	12:45 -13.30	Lunch	
	40.00 45.00		
	13:30 -15:00	Computer lab	Work on exercises and ask questions via the chat to Ingrid Arts and Katherina Meitinger
	15.00 - 16.00	Q&A by Katherina	Ask your questions about the exercises.
		Meitinger	(since there will be extensive answers provided we will not show how to perform the exercises, but we will answer all you conceptual questions)

Thursday	09:00 -17.00	Lecture by Rebecca Kuiper The chat in Teams, for people attending online, will be moderated by Beth Grandfield	 Introduction to informative hypotheses, Bayesian model selection (BMS), and model selection using information criteria (i.e., AIC and its generalization called the GORIC). This includes interpretating Bayes factors, posterior model probabilities, and GORIC weights. Useful references: <i>Hoijtink, H. (2012). Informative Hypotheses. Theory and Practice for Behavioral and Social Scientists. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC.</i> <i>Kuiper, R.M., Hoijtink, H.J.A. & Silvapulle, M. J. (2011). An Akaike-type information criterion for model selection under inequality constraints.</i> <i>Biometrika, 98, pp. 495-501.</i>
	12:45 -13.30	Lunch	
	13:30 -15:00	Computer lab	Work on exercises and ask questions via the chat to Beth Grandfield and Rebecca Kuiper.
	15.00 – 16.00	Q&A by Rebecca Kuiper	Ask your questions about the exercises. (since there will be extensive answers provided we will not show how to perform the exercises, but we will answer all you conceptual questions)

	09:00 -12.45	Lecture by Rebecca Kuiper	Updating a hypothesis and combining evidence from multiple studies. Notably, the evaluation of informative hypotheses using model selection, discussed on Day 4, plays an important role here.
		The chat in	
		Teams, for	Useful reference:
		people attending	Kuiper, R.M., Buskens, V.W., Raub, W. & Hoijtink, H. (2013). Combining
		online, will be	statistical evidence from several studies: A method using Bayesian
		moderated by Both Grandfield	updating and an example from research on trust problems in social and accompany accompany and passarch (12) (1) pp. 60
		Beth Grundfield	81.
	12:45 -13 30	Lunch	
	12.10 10.00	Lanch	
day			
Fric			
	13:30 -15:00	Computer lab	Work on exercises and ask questions via the chat to Beth Grandfield
	15.00 – 16.00	Q&A by	Ask your questions about the exercises.
		Rebecca Kuiper	
			(since there will be extensive answers provided we will not show how
			to perform the exercises, but we will answer all you conceptual questions)
	1		